

The 16th May, 1983

No. ID/AMB/21-83/23010.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Amrit Lal and the management of M/s Haryana Broadways, Kaithal (ii) State Transport Controller, Haryana, Chandigarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i), section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted, —vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Amrit Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 17th May, 1983

No. ID/RKT/26-83/23040.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Roshan Lal and the management of M/s The District Manager Confed, Rohtak. (ii) The Haryana State Federation of consumers co-operative wholesale stone Ltd., Chandigarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO-(E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Roshan Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/KNL/103/82/23048.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mahal Singh and the management of M/s Executive Engineer Drilling Development Division M. I. T. C., Mal Road, Kathal, (ii) Managing Director, H. S. M. I. T. C., Sector 17, Chandigarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mahal Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

V. S. CHAUDHRI,
Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour Department

IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT

The 29th April, 1983

No. 23/2/79-11E.—In partial modification of Haryana Government Gazette, Notification issued,—vide No. 23/2/79-11E, dated 27th August, 1982, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to order that against Serial No. 8 and 9 the following may kindly be substituted:

Serial No.	Name	Previous date of confirmation	Revised/Actual date of confirmation	Remarks
8	Shri K. K. Jagja	—	19th December, 1968	Vice Shri B. S. Parsal, confirmed as C. E. with effect from 19th December, 1968.
9	Shri V. C. Vasudeva (deceased)	—	1st April, 1969	Vice Shri P. S. Bhatnagar, retired on 31st March, 1969.

Dated Chandigarh,
the 25th March, 1983

S. G. SUNDARAM,
Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Irrigation and Power Department.